

Corrections to "Scattering Parameter Characterization of Microwave Optoelectronic Devices and Fiber-Optic Networks"

Stavros Iezekiel, Christopher M. Snowden, and Michael J. Howes

In the above letter,¹ p. 234 contains three errors. At the end of the first column, the element in the first column and second row of $R_{T_{\text{dut}}}$ should read:

$$-(kr)^{-1}S'T'_1 + (pr)^{-1}T'_3.$$

¹ S. Iezekiel, C. M. Snowden, and M. J. Howes, *IEEE Microwave Guided Wave Lett.*, vol. 1, no. 9, pp. 233-235, Sept. 1991.

Manuscript received October 1, 1991.

The authors are with The Microwave Solid-State Group, Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, The University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, England.

IEEE Log Number 9104591.

The expression for S' in the second column should read:

$$S' = \frac{S_{22E/O}}{S_{12,E/O}S_{21,E/O}}.$$

The expression given for ks/pr , in the second column, was inadvertently taken from the optical calibration theory. The correct expression for the optoelectronic calibration is:

$$\frac{ks}{pr} = \frac{-R_{11,M3} + \bar{c}R_{12,M3} + \bar{b}R_{21,M3} - \bar{b}\bar{c}R_{22,M3}}{R_{11,M3} - \bar{d}R_{12,M3} - \bar{a}R_{21,M3} + \bar{a}\bar{d}R_{22,M3}} \frac{1}{S_{12,E/O}S_{21,E/O}},$$

where $R_{ij,M3}$ are the measured elements of the wave cascading matrix of **E03** and $S_{ij,E/O}$ are the actual S -parameters of the network **E/O**. It has also come to light that the sign ambiguity in the values of ks and pr can be resolved by measuring the optical standard **O3** with the calibrated optical network analyzer set-up. No estimate of the phase of $S_{11,E/O}$ is required. Hence, the optoelectronic calibration procedure is actually more robust than originally thought. The rest of the letter was not affected by these errors.